

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 258741

10

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 FEA-01 COME-00 AGR-05 DODE-00 EB-07

CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-05 L-02 ACDA-05 NSAE-00 PA-01

RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 /053 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:JHKING:FEA:LHELLER

APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:GHELMAN

OP/GSA:RGRIFFITH

FEA:JMORRIS

COMMERCE/MARAD:FCASE

AGRICULTURE:JWOOD

DOD/IANDL:JNOLAN

EUR/RPE:JTARRANT

EB/ORF/FSE:SBOSWORTH

----- 085888

R 222339Z NOV 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 258741

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, NATO

SUBJECT: CEP: U.S. POSITIONS FOR PETROLEUM PLANNING

-- COMMITTEE MEETING, NOVEMBER 25-26, 1974

REF: AC/12-A/71

1. FOLLOWING ARE U.S. POSITIONS FOR KEY AGENDA ITEMS FOR
PPC MEETING:

I. RECORD OF DECISIONS: U.S. SHOULD APPROVE RECORD.

II. U.S. SHOULD NOTE THESE DECISIONS. OF PARTICULAR IN-
TEREST TO THE PPC ARE DECISIONS ON (1) A HIGH PRIORITY FOR
THE ATTAINMENT OF NCWA READINESS, AND (2) THE REMISSION
OF SEVERAL DOCUMENTS BACK TO THE PPC FOR RECONSIDERATION

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 258741

IN THE LIGHT OF DEVELOPMENTS CONCERNING THE ECG. COMMENT:

WITH REGARD TO THE RELATIONSHIP OF CEP/PPC ACTIVITIES AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE IEP, THE FOLLOWING IS THE U.S. POSITION: SINCE OTHER IEP/NATO MEMBERS -UC'AS THE UK, DENMARK AND THE NETHERLANDS HAVE DEMONSTRATED A WILLINGNESS TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE IEP IN ANY CURRENT, APPROPRIATE NATO/PPC PLANNING DOCUMENTS OR WORKING PAPERS, THE U.S. SHOULD SUPPORT ANY REASONABLE EFFORTS TO THIS END. HOWEVER, THE U.S. SHOULD DISCOURAGE ANY ATTEMPTS BY THE PPC TO EXPLORE AT THIS TIME THE POSSIBILITY OF

ESTABLISHING A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NATO CEP/PPC AND THE IEA, BY POINTING OUT THE SENSITIVITY OF THE PROBLEMS SUCH A RELATIONSHIP WOULD CREATE FOR BOTH THE NON-IEA NATO NATIONS AND THE IEA NEUTRALS. END COMMENT.

III. TRAINING OF NCWA PERSONNEL: THE U.S. WILL COMMEND THE EFFORTS OF ALL CONCERNED IN ORGANIZING AND EXECUTING A SUCCESSFUL CSA/JOS(W) TRAINING SESSION AND WILL NOTE THE FOLLOWING: (1) TWO AND ONE-HALF DAYS WOULD HAVE BEEN SUFFICIENT TIME FOR THE TRAINING SESSION; (2) SENIOR MEMBERS OF THE JOS(W) OUGHT TO BE INVOLVED IN THE PLANNING OF FUTURE JOS(W) TRAINING SESSIONS. THE U.S. SHOULD ALSO: (1) REPORT BRIEFLY ON THE EFFORT TO FORMULATE JOB DESCRIPTIONS FOR ALL JOS(W) POSITIONS; (2) NOTE THAT NOBS ARE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS TO THE EFFECTIVE OPERATION OF THE NWO; (3) AVOID COMMITTING ITSELF AT THIS TIME TO SPONSORING ANY TRAINING SESSIONS FOR JOS(W) CITING UNCERTAINTY OF AVAILABLE FUNDS, AND URGE PPC-WIDE ADOPTION OF THE NEW QUOTE NATIONALLY-SPONSORED TRAINING UNQUOTE POLICY.

IV. READINESS OF NCWAS:

A. REPORT OF VISIT TO NOEB/DSEV(WEST): THE U.S. SHOULD NOTE THE IS STAFF REPORT AND AFFIRM THAT THE CEP DIRECTORATE AND THE PPC WILL BE KEPT INFORMED OF DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CONSIDERATION OF A SUITABLE SITE FOR ALL U.S.-HOSTED NCWAS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 258741

B. ARRANGEMENTS FOR BRINGING NCWAS INTO OPERATION: THE U.S. SHOULD NOTE THE QUOTE BASIC PROCEDURES UNQUOTE REFERENCED AND SHOULD COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

C. EXAMINATION OF EACH NCWA: THE U.S. SHOULD EMPHASIZE THE FOLLOWING POINTS:

(1) THE U.S. IS SUBMITTING A REVISION OF THE NWO TORS

AS PART OF AN EXAMINATION OF NCWAS.

(2) THE U.S. BELIEVES THAT A REVISION OF THE NWO'S TORS SHOULD BE THE PPC'S FIRST PRIORITY.

-

(3) THE TORS HAVE BEEN MAINLY UPDATED TO ACCORD WITH THE REVISED BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND CURRENT REALITIES.

(4) IF APPROPRIATE THE U.S. MIGHT ALSO POINT OUT THAT THIS REVISION WAS NOT INTENDED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE QUESTION OF NATURAL GAS.

V. STAFFING LIST OF THE NWO: U.S. SHOULD NOTE THAT ALL JOS(W) SLOTS ARE EITHER FILLED OR NOMINATIONS HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO NATO EXCEPT FOR FIVE POSITIONS.

VI. OPERATIONAL CONCEPT OF NOEB/JOS AND COOPERATION WITH DSA: U.S. SHOULD MAKE FOLLOWING POINTS:

(1) U.S. REVISION OF AC/12/WP/102(REVISED) IS SUBMITTED AS AN AID TO DECISION-MAKING AND IN THE HOPE THAT IT CONSOLIDATES PREVIOUS ACCEPTED THINKING.

(2) U.S. POSITION HAS BEEN TAKEN WITH THE OBJECTIVE OF ESTABLISHING A BASIS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE CONCEPT.

(3) U.S. WOULD LIKE TO SEE ALL FURTHER DELIBERATIONS ON THIS CONCEPT TAKE PLACE IN A JOINT PPC/PBOS WORKING GROUP.

(4) THIS WORKING GROUP SHOULD LEARN MORE ABOUT OECD/IIAB
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 258741

MECHANISM FOR MANAGING TANKERS IN CRISIS PERIODS.

(5) CONCEPT MIGHT BE CHECKED WITH OIL COMPANY SUPPLY AND TANKER EXPERTS.

(6) CONCEPT SHOULD ACCOUNT IN THE FUTURE FOR DEVELOPMENTS RELATED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE IEP.

VII. REVIEW OF FUNCTIONS OF NDA AND NOEB: U.S. SHOULD COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE. U.S. COULD POINT OUT THAT ROLE OF NATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES IN THE NOEBs HAS NEVER BEEN COMPLETELY DEFINED AND COULD REQUEST THAT THIS QUESTION BE FORMALLY AND FINALLY CLARIFIED FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL.

VIII. WAR MANUAL: U.S. SHOULD COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

IX. CONSULTANTS: U.S. HAS NO OBJECTION TO THE CONCEPT OF OIL CONSULTANTS BUT REQUIRES MORE SPECIFIC INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING TO RECRUIT THESE CONSULTANTS.

XIII. TRADE AMONG NATO COUNTRIES AND WITH NON-NATO COUNTRIES: U.S. SHOULD NOTE IS REPORT.

XIV. POLICIES ON PETROLEUM SUPPORT TO NATO EXERCISES: U.S. WILL RESERVE ON THIS ITEM SINCE THERE IS NO DISCUSSION PAPER AVAILABLE. IF PRESSED, U.S. REP WILL STATE THAT OUR CURRENT POSITION IN GENERAL REMAINS THE SAME AS THAT STATED BY AMBASSADOR RUMSFELD IN THE DPC ON APRIL 3, 1974. WE BELIEVE THE IMPLICATIONS OF THIS ISSUE ARE BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE PPC AND SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN THE SCEPC IF NOT THE DPC, SINCE THE MATTER HAS ALREADY BEEN RAISED IN THE LATTER FORUM. FYI. WE BELIEVE THIS ISSUE MAY ALREADY BE OVERTAKEN BY EVENTS SINCE SOME OF THE COUNTRIES WHO RAISED THE ISSUE INITIALLY HAVE SUBSEQUENTLY NOT REPEAT NOT INSISTED ON REPLACEMENT OF PETROLEUM SUPPLIES IN KIND FOR THOSE CONSUMED IN NATO EXERCISES. END FYI.

2. U.S. REPS TO PPC WILL PROVIDE MISSION WITH FULL SET OF POSITION PAPERS. INGERSOLL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: PETROLEUM, POLICIES, MEETING AGENDA, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, IEP
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 NOV 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE258741
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JHKING:FEA:LHELLER
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740340-0392
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741118/aaaaapeu.tel
Line Count: 193
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: AC/12-A/71
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 10 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <10 APR 2002 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <14-Aug-2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CEP: U.S. POSITIONS FOR PETROLEUM PLANNING -- COMMITTEE MEETING, NOVEMBER 25-26, 1974
TAGS: MARR, US, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005